

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,525	08/22/2003	Luca Massasso	08020.0002.00000	8119	
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 09/15/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			NUNEZ, JORDANY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-1413		2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/645 525 MASSASSO ET AL

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Jordany Núñez.  (3) Philip Hoffman.  (4)	Interview Summary	10/040,020	WW.COM.COO ET	/ Mai
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) \( \)	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Jordany Núřiez. (3) Philip Hoffman.  (2) Travis R. Banta. (4)		Jordany Núñez	2175	
Date of Interview: ( <u>03 September 2009</u> .  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference o) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-6 and 10-23</u> .  Identification of prior art discussed: <u>DiStefano</u> .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what it meant by transactional business data in the claim limitations: We also discussed how <u>DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what it meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how <u>DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what it meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how <u>DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what it meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how <u>DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what it is apply to the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a suraliable, a suraliable, as unmarny thereof must be attached.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERCIDO OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u></u></u></u></u>	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
Date of Interview: @3 September 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2 ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  If Yes, brief description: ☐ .  Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 10-23.  Identification of prior art discussed: @IStefano.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed blow DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano Romania Particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed how DiStefano Romania Particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim imitations: We also discussed	(1) <u>Jordany Núñez</u> .	(3)Philip Hoffman.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	(2) <u>Travis R. Banta</u> .	(4)		
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:	Date of Interview: 03 September 2009.			
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 10-23.  Identification of prior art discussed: DiStefano.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim limitations; We also discussed how DiStephano might be overcome, for example, by claiming the ability to drill-down information objects.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>DiStefano</u> .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business date in the claim limitations: <u>We also discussed how DiStephano might be overcome.</u> for example, by claiming the ability to drill-down information objects.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a surailable, a summary thereof must be attached.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>		e)⊠ No.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim limitations; We also discussed how DiStephano might be overcome, for example, by claiming the ability to drill-down information objects.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THINTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 10-23.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim limitations; We also discussed how DiStephano might be overcome, for example, by claiming the ability to drill-down information objects.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>DiStefano</u> .			
reached, or any other comments: We discussed how DiStefano reads over the instant application, particularly, what is meant by transactional business data in the claim limitations: We also discussed how DiStephano might be overcome. For example, by claiming the ability to drill-down information objects.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SubMARRY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
	reached, or any other comments: We discussed how DiStemeant by transactional business data in the claim limitation overcome, for example, by claiming the ability to drill-down (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE	fano reads over the instant an s: We also discussed how Dis information objects.  ments which the examiner agi opy of the amendments that w. i.)  CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT).  ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, **	polication, particular	ularly, what is be er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Examiner, Art Unit 2175 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175	/Jordany Núñez/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175		nit 2175	